

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH**AT BILASPUR****SINGLE BENCH****Writ Petition (s) No. 3583 of 2006****PETITIONER:**

S.K. Ravi,
S/o Shri Sheo Bhajan Ram,
Aged about 47 years,
S.D.O., Rural Engineering
Services, Sub-Division,
Lundra District: Surguja,
Chhattisgarh.

- Versus -**RESPONDENTS:**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
Panchayat & Rural
Development, D.K.S.
Bhawan, Mantralay, Raipur
(Chhattisgarh)
2. Executive Engineer, Rural
Engineering Services,
Division -2 , Ambikapur
(Chhattisgarh)
3. Janpad Panchayat,
Baikunthpur, Through
Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat
Baikunthpur, District
Koriya, (Chhattisgarh)
4. S.S. Toppo, Sub Divisional
Officer, Rural Engineering
Services, Sub-Division,
Baikunthpur, District
Koriya, (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 3583/06
Presented by Shri. Sanjay Agrawal
dated 12/2/06



RECEIVED-COPY
11/1/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF
APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTIONS ETC., IN THE
NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND/OR ANY
OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OF LIKE NATURE**

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P. 3583/ सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>20-7-2006</u> Mr. Sanjay K. Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Vinay Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 & 2, on advance copy. Heard. By the instant writ petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 30-6-2006 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.1, whereby he has been transferred from Lundra, District: Surguja to Janpad Panchayat: Baikunthpur, District: Koriya. The main ground of challenge of the transfer is that the petitioner has been shown to have transferred on his own request and therefore, he is not entitled for the transportation allowance. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has never made any request for his transfer and he has been transferred on wrong ground. He requested that therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1 & 2 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merit of the case, the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner	

P.T.O.

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	- 2 -	
	is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances annexing a copy of the writ petition to respondent No.1 within ten days from today and respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation within one month from the date of its receipt after considering the points raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, on its own merits, in accordance with law. Till the representation of the petitioner is decided, the effect and operation of the order impugned in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed. With the directions aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.2774/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	
Soma		Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

P.T.O.

24/7/06